Statistical Foundations of Invariance and Equivariance in Deep Artificial Neural Network Learning

Yueyang Shen 1 Yupeng Zhang 2 Ivo Dinov 1

¹ Statistical Online Computational Resource (SOCR), Computational Medicine and Bioinformatics, University of Michigan

²University of Wisconsin

May 29, 2024

 209

Overview

[Mathematical Foundations](#page-1-0)

[Review: Group \(Representation\) Theory, Deep Network Architectures](#page-1-0)

- Relaxing the exact G[-equivariant condition](#page-5-0)
- [Case Study: Group Invariance Case Study on Kreuzer Skarke Dataset](#page-8-0)

[Statistical and Optimization practice under symmetry](#page-10-0)

- [Invariance, probabilistic symmetry and statistical inference](#page-10-0)
- [Optimization symmetry practice](#page-12-0)

[Biomedical Applications & Spacekime Analytics](#page-16-0)

 298

4 **D F**

 $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{A}$

Review: Group representation theory

Invariance and Equivariance: $\rho : G \to GL(V)$ is a group homomorphism $\rho(g_1g_2) = \rho(g_1)\rho(g_2)$

f is G- Invariant if $f(\rho(g)x) = f(x)$, f is G- Equivariant if $f(\rho(g)x) = \rho(g)f(x)$ $\forall g \in G$

▶ Invariance requires information *compression* quotienting out symmetries, equivariance means information is transformed consistently.

- Group actions (in statistical contexts):
	- **4** Acting on group elements, G on G
	- \bullet Acting on (statistical) parameters in \mathbb{R}^d , i.e., \mathcal{T}_{gX} (V finite dimensional, $\rho(g)$ invertible matrices)
		- Example: 1D Location Scale family, T_g : $\theta \rightarrow \theta_g = a\theta + b$
	- \bullet Acting on functions f (Left regular representations), i.e., $L_g f(g') = f(g^{-1}g'), f \in \mathbb{L}_2(G)^1(V$ infinite) \blacktriangleright Example: Acting on Statistical estimators, $L_g:\hat\Theta\to\hat\Theta$, $L_g\hat\theta(\theta\mid x)=\hat\theta({T_g^{-1}\theta\mid x}), \theta\in\mathbb R^d$
- Example Spatial Rotational symmetry: $SO(3) = \{R^T R = 1, det(R) = 1, R \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}\}$
	- ¹ Matrix composing with matrix (matrix product) defines G acting on G
	- 2 Matrix $(R=\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{g}}=\rho(g))$ acting on \mathbb{R}^3 is trivial. $\mathsf{GL}(V)=\mathsf{GL}(3,\mathbb{R})\equiv\mathbb{R}^{3\times3}$
	- \bullet $SO(3)$ acting on estimators acts on the parameters inversely.

 1 Can also be defined for other \mathbb{L}_2 spaces $L_g f(x) = f(\mathcal{T}_g^{-1}x), f \in \mathbb{L}_2(\mathbb{R}^d), x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

Two approaches to make deep network invariant/equivariant:

- **.** Data Augmentation [Limitation](#page-9-0)
- **•** Architectural Design

▶ G-invariant inference framework: several equivariant functions followed by a invariant layer.

Common architectural designs:

- **MLP**: Universal function approximators, no symmetry built in, generalization contingent on training data distribution.
- **2 CNN:** MLP with translational equivariance (segmentation)/invariance (classification). Equivariance realized via translational weight sharing.
- **Discrete GCNN**: Data augmentation made implicit in the architectural design, discrete indexing g of the group G needed, weight sharing across G

$$
f*_G K(g) = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(h) K(\mathcal{T}_g^{-1} h). \quad \text{Example: Scaling} : (f*_{{\mathbb{R}}_{>0}} K)(p, \lambda) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{R}^2} f(p - q) K\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}q\right)
$$

目 つくい

∢ ロ ▶ (伊 ▶ (君 ▶ (君 ▶)

Review: Deep Network Architecture

4 Steerable CNN: Does not need group sampling (discrete indexing) schemes, Information stored as Fourier coefficients (Peter-Weyl Theorem for compact group G) [\[8\]](#page-21-1)

$$
\text{Forward}: \hat{f}(\rho_{\ell}) = [\mathcal{F}_G f]_{\ell} = \int_G f(g)\rho_{\ell}(g)dg, \text{Backward}: \left[\mathcal{F}_G^{-1}\hat{f}\right]_{\ell} = \sum_{\ell} d_{\rho_{\ell}} \text{tr}\left[\hat{f}(\rho_{\ell})\rho_{\ell}(g^{-1})\right],\tag{1}
$$

Steerable kernels satisfy kernel constraints: $\mathcal{K}(h\mathsf{x}) = \rho_{\mathsf{out}}(h) \mathcal{K}(\mathsf{x}) \rho_{\mathsf{in}}(h^{-1})$

- ▶ SO(3) example: $K(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} c_m^{\ell} (\|x\|) Y_m^{\ell} \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right)$,
- ► Equivariance: $Y_m^{\ell}(R(\theta,\phi)) = \rho^{\ell}(R) Y_m^{\ell}(\theta,\phi), (\theta,\phi) \in S^2, R \in SO(3), \rho^{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{(2\ell+1)\times(2\ell+1)}$ are the Wigner-D matrices. $\rho^\ell = [\overline{D}_{-\ell}^{\ell},...,\overline{D}_{-1}^{\ell},D_0^{\ell},...,D_{\ell}^{\ell}],~[\overline{D}_m^{\ell}(\cdot)]_{m'}:SO(3) \to \mathbb{R}$ is Wigner-D function.
- **Seq to Seq Transformers:** Non-convolutional Approach, attention mechanism is permutation equivariant, unlike MLP the model weights are *feature dependent* $w(X)$ ▶ Properties: Scaling laws [\[6\]](#page-21-2), In context learning functional regression problem [\[4\]](#page-21-3).

K ㅁ ▶ K @ ▶ K 로 ▶ K 로 ▶ _ 로 _ K) Q Q @

Overview

[Mathematical Foundations](#page-1-0)

- [Review: Group \(Representation\) Theory, Deep Network Architectures](#page-1-0)
- Relaxing the exact G[-equivariant condition](#page-5-0)
- [Case Study: Group Invariance Case Study on Kreuzer Skarke Dataset](#page-8-0)

[Statistical and Optimization practice under symmetry](#page-10-0)

- [Invariance, probabilistic symmetry and statistical inference](#page-10-0)
- [Optimization symmetry practice](#page-12-0)

[Biomedical Applications & Spacekime Analytics](#page-16-0)

 298

4 **D F**

 $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{A}$

Relaxing the equivariance constraint

Motivation: Material Impurity (Non-isotropicity for ∇^2), physical non-ideality factors

Figure: The problem with approximating an approximate G-equivariant function with G-equivariant function is that the two red zig zag lines cannot be simultaneously small. The solid lines stand for connections from G-equivariant (f_{θ}) inference. The dashed lines represent approximate G-equivariant (f) inferences.

- Approximate Equivariance[\[7\]](#page-21-4)/Invariance : ϵ -approximate G-equivariant: $\forall g \in G$ and $\forall x \in X,$ $|| f(\rho_X(g)(x)) - \rho_Y(g)f(x)|| \leq \epsilon_F$ ϵ -approximate G-invariant: $\forall g \in G$ and $\forall x \in X$, $||f(\rho_X(g)(x)) - f(x)|| \leq \epsilon_I$
- **Lower Bound Error for approximate** equivariance inference with full equivariance parametrization[\[7\]](#page-21-4)
	- \blacktriangleright f_{θ} denotes the NN based G-equivariant network and f be the approximate equivariant framework. Assuming the Lipschitz condition, $\|\rho_Y(g)f_\theta(x)\|$ $-\rho_Y(g)f(x)\Vert_Y \leq \kappa \Vert f_\theta(x) - f(x)\Vert_Y.$ Then, $\exists x, \|f_{\theta}(x) - f(x)\| \geq \frac{1}{1+\kappa} \epsilon_E$

イロメ イ部メ イヨメ イヨメ

 299

Bridging Theory and Practice: Emergent approximate invariance

Approximate invariance can also emerge from noise and constraints (latent dimension).

Figure: A schematic of DL network auto-encoding-decoding of handwritten images and the large ABIDE dataset along with identification of DL invariants that capture the intrinsic properties of the training data. This VAE framework may be used to produce synthetic realizations resembling the original training data.

 Ω

COL

Overview

[Mathematical Foundations](#page-1-0)

[Review: Group \(Representation\) Theory, Deep Network Architectures](#page-1-0)

- Relaxing the exact G[-equivariant condition](#page-5-0)
- [Case Study: Group Invariance Case Study on Kreuzer Skarke Dataset](#page-8-0)

[Statistical and Optimization practice under symmetry](#page-10-0)

- [Invariance, probabilistic symmetry and statistical inference](#page-10-0)
- [Optimization symmetry practice](#page-12-0)

[Biomedical Applications & Spacekime Analytics](#page-16-0)

 298

4 **D F**

 $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{A}$

Group Invariant Learning on Kreuzer Skarke Dataset

Work with Christian Ewert, Sumner Magruder, Vera Maiboroda, Pragya Singh, and Daniel Platt. ²

- Models: CNN, Xgboost, Invariant MLP, (Vision) Transformer, $PointNet++$, MLP with invariant features
- Data Preprocessing: Original, Original (Random) Permuted, Preprocessed, Preprocessed Permuted
- Main Findings:
	- **4** Approximately Invariant models outperform fully invariant models
	- ² Group Invariant Preprocessing improves performance
	- ³ Building group invariance improves performance Figure: Different Architectures across group

invariant preprocessing

 QQ ²https://github.com/danielplatt/kreuzer-skarke-ML/blob/main/Group_Invariant_Kreuzer_Skarke.pdf [Statistical Foundation of Group Invariance and Equivariance in Deep Network Learning](#page-0-0)

[Mathematical Foundations](#page-1-0)

- [Review: Group \(Representation\) Theory, Deep Network Architectures](#page-1-0)
- Relaxing the exact G[-equivariant condition](#page-5-0)
- [Case Study: Group Invariance Case Study on Kreuzer Skarke Dataset](#page-8-0)

[Statistical and Optimization practice under symmetry](#page-10-0)

- [Invariance, probabilistic symmetry and statistical inference](#page-10-0)
- [Optimization symmetry practice](#page-12-0)

[Biomedical Applications & Spacekime Analytics](#page-16-0)

[References](#page-21-0)

 298

€ □ }

K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶ K ヨ ▶

Invariance, probabilistic symmetry and statistical inference

Figure: Discrete and continuous case of sample space partition induced by sufficient statistics. (Left): The sufficient statistic generates a partition of black, red, blue green dots. (Right): The sufficient statistic generates a partition of red isocontours for the variance and blue isocontours for the mean parameter.

- Probabilistic Symmetry is defined on random structures X_{∞} (random variables, random graphs, random partitions,...). A random structure is *symmetric* to G if $g(X) \stackrel{d}{=} X, \forall X \in X_{\infty}, g \in G$. The canonical example being exchangeability [\[2\]](#page-21-5).
- Sufficiency describe information relevant to inference, Invariance introduces irrelevance and needs to be quotient out. $($ ロ እ $($ 何 እ $($ ヨ እ $($ ヨ እ $)$ 298

[Mathematical Foundations](#page-1-0)

- [Review: Group \(Representation\) Theory, Deep Network Architectures](#page-1-0)
- Relaxing the exact G[-equivariant condition](#page-5-0)
- [Case Study: Group Invariance Case Study on Kreuzer Skarke Dataset](#page-8-0)

[Statistical and Optimization practice under symmetry](#page-10-0)

- [Invariance, probabilistic symmetry and statistical inference](#page-10-0)
- [Optimization symmetry practice](#page-12-0)

[Biomedical Applications & Spacekime Analytics](#page-16-0)

 298

€ □ }

K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶ K ヨ ▶

Common symmetries in metric measures:

• Reparametrization symmetry.

▶ Physical properties (Curve length, Regional areas, Solid volumes) is independent of coordinate transformations.

 \triangleright Canonical variable transform is coupled with a Jacobian term (r.v. Bivariate transform $f_{UV}(u, v) = f_{XY}(x(u, v), y(u, v))|J|$. When the |J| factor is absorbed, the quantity is reparametrization invariant (Fisher information, Mutual information).

- Geometrical Transformation Symmetry
	- ▶ Rotations (Cosine similarity, L2 logistic regression), Affine (Amari-Chentsov tensor[\[1\]](#page-21-6))
- **Problem specific symmetries:**
	- ▶ Optimal policy invariance under reward shaping $\tilde{R} = R + F(x, a, x') = R + \gamma \phi(x') \phi(x)$. This non-classical invariance is generated from the Bellman objective function form.

K ロ > K 個 > K 로 > K 로 > H 로 → K Q Q Q

Measuring Symmetry

One can use Lie derivative to quantify how much symmetry is aligned/violated (Locally) by rearranging the equivariance condition: [\[5\]](#page-21-7)

$$
\rho_{21}(g)[f](x) = \rho_2(g)^{-1} f(\rho_1(g))(x) \tag{2}
$$

The Lie derivative generated by a vector field Y can be expanded using the rewritten condition

$$
\mathcal{L}_Y(f) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\rho_{21}(\phi_Y^t)[f] - f}{t} = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\psi_{\exp(-tY)}^* \circ f \circ \psi_{\exp(tY)} - f}{t}
$$
(3)

- ϕ^t_Y is the local 1-parameter group generated by $\,Y$ (flowing along the vector field $\,Y$ with time t)
- $\psi_{\mathsf{exp}(t\mathsf{Y})}:\mathcal{M}\rightarrow\mathcal{M}$ is the manifold pushforward defined by the group action
- $\psi^*_{\sf exp(-tY)}: T^*_{\phi^{\sf t}_Y(\rho)}\mathcal{M}\to T^*_\rho\mathcal{M}$ is the pullback of the cotangent space. Namely, it pulls back the cotangent space at $\phi_Y^t(\rho)$ to ρ

K ロ > K 個 > K 로 > K 로 > H 로 → K Q Q Q

Optimization Practice [\[3\]](#page-21-8)

Figure: An illustration of a non-convex loss landscape with radial symmetry. M: surface, M/G : black curve

Symmetries on the functional landscape often entails non-convexity. In terms of optimizing on the total space M or quotient space M/G

• For *first order* Riemannian

gradient descent method, there is no difference utilizing the quotient structure or using the algorithm in the original space.

- **•** For second order methods, Newton's method would be catastrophic for optimizing the loss in the original space M , since Newton's method solves step direction in one shot.
- Using conjugate gradient to minimize the second order expansion mitigates the problem of solving an underdetermined system when optimizing in original space M .

 Ω

[Mathematical Foundations](#page-1-0)

- [Review: Group \(Representation\) Theory, Deep Network Architectures](#page-1-0)
- Relaxing the exact G[-equivariant condition](#page-5-0)
- [Case Study: Group Invariance Case Study on Kreuzer Skarke Dataset](#page-8-0)

[Statistical and Optimization practice under symmetry](#page-10-0)

- [Invariance, probabilistic symmetry and statistical inference](#page-10-0)
- [Optimization symmetry practice](#page-12-0)

[Biomedical Applications & Spacekime Analytics](#page-16-0)

 298

€ □ }

K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶ K ヨ ▶

Biomedical Datasets demonstrate various classical and non-classical invariances

- Set data structure such as gene expression have permutation invariance
- Modeling Spatiotemporal measurements could be invariant to
	- ¹ Spatial rotation, irrespective of the machine orientation selected (fMRI imaging, 2D pathology slices of 3D anatomy).
	- ² Temporal translations. Same stimuli (Experimental condition) should give rise to same activation patterns across measurement taken times.
	- ▶ More generally, this needs to be modeled as gauge invariance: Instrument changes may lead to measurement transformations (i.e., gauge transformations) tracking the same quantity between different devices, which subject to rigorous calibration is expected to yield stable inference, i.e., inference invariance/equivariance).
- fMRI preprocessing (e.g., registering the hypervolumetric data into a common 3D/4D spatiotemporal) atlas space to align the fMRI data and facilitate a form of inference invariance can be regarded as the "group invariant" preprocessing step.

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 로 ▶ K 로 ▶ 『 콘 │ K 9 Q Q

Prospective study: Invariance, neuroimaging and spacekime analytics

 299

Prospective study: Invariance, neuroimaging and spacekime analytics

Yueyang Shen, Yupeng Zhang, Ivo Dinov [Statistical Foundation of Group Invariance and Equivariance in Deep Network Learning](#page-0-0) 20 / 22 / 22

 299

SOCR Funding sources:

NIH: UL1 TR002240, R01 CA233487, R01 MH121079, R01 MH126137, T32 GM141746 (BIDS-TP) NSF: 1916425, 1734853, 1636840, 1416953, 0716055, 1023115

SOCR Members: Zerihun Bekele, Milen Velev, Kaiming Cheng, Achu Shankar, Ryan Kwon, Shihang Li, Daxuan Deng, Zijing Li, Yongkai Qiu, Zhe Yin, Yufei Yang, Yuxin Wang, Rongqian Zhang, Yuyao Liu, Yupeng Zhang, Yunjie Guo, Simeone Marino, Ivo Dinov

 Ω

References

- [1] Nihat Ay et al. "Information geometry and sufficient statistics". In: Probability Theory and Related Fields 162 (2015), pp. 327–364.
- [2] Benjamin Bloem-Reddy, Yee Whye, et al. "Probabilistic symmetries and invariant neural networks". In: Journal of Machine Learning Research 21.90 (2020), pp. 1-61.
- [3] Nicolas Boumal. An introduction to optimization on smooth manifolds. Cambridge University Press, 2023.
- [4] Shivam Garg et al. "What can transformers learn in-context? a case study of simple function classes". In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35 (2022), pp. 30583-30598.
- [5] Nate Gruver et al. "The lie derivative for measuring learned equivariance". In: arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.02984 (2022).
- [6] Jared Kaplan et al. "Scaling laws for neural language models". In: arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.08361 (2020).
- [7] Rui Wang, Robin Walters, and Rose Yu. "Approximately equivariant networks for imperfectly symmetric dynamics". In: International Conference on Machine Learning. PMLR. 2022, pp. 23078–23091.
- [8] Maurice Weiler et al. "3d steerable cnns: Learning rotationally equivariant features in volumetric data". In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 31 (2018).

 QQ

メロメメ 倒 メメ きょくきょう